

## UNITED STATES DESCRIPTION OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTO	DRNEY DOCKET NO.
08/625,	<del>1</del> 93 <u>04/01/96</u>	STRAATS		04860.P1885
	SOKOLOFF TAYLI ILSHIRE BOULEV		EXAI THLANG	MINER 3, E
7TH FLOO LOS ANGE	OR ELES CA 90025		ART UNIT 2781	PAPER NUMBER
			DATE MAIL ED.	11/23/98

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks





## **Advisory Action**

Application No. 08/625,993

Applicant(s)

Group Art Unit

Examiner

Eric S. Thlang

oup Art Unit 2781

Straats, Erik P.



	IE PERI	OD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]
	a) 🗌	expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
	b) 🔀	expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.
	date on determ	tension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of ining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be ted from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.
	Appell period	ant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on (or within any for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).
Ap bu	plicant t is NO	's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Oct 16, 1998</u> has been considered with the following effect, T deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:
	The pr	oposed amendment(s):
	U wi	ll be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.
	☐ wi	Il not be entered because:
		they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).
		they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).
		they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
		they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NO.	TE:
	□ Ар	plicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):
_		
	Newly	
		proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a ate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.
(V)	separa	ate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.
X	separa The at	
X	The at for alle	ite, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.  Ifidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition ownice because:  Item to be a superior of the continuation of t
X)	The at for alle	ete, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.  Fidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition owance because:
	The at for alleargum "a list The at	ite, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.  Ifidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition ownice because:  Item to be a superior of the continuation of t
	The at for alloargum "a list The at the Ex	ifidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition owance because:  Interest are not persuasive, Examiner believes the combination of Ashton et al. and Worsley et al. teach the use of the ener client associated with isochronous channel and configured to receive isochronous data [see Figures 1, 2]  Interest is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by aminer in the final rejection.
	The at for alle argument The at the Ex	ifidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition of the same power of
	The at for all argum. "a list" The at the Ex For pu	ifidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition of wance because:  In the state of the use of th
	The affor allowing the Extended Claims Claims	ifidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition of wance because:  Interest are not persuasive, Examiner believes the combination of Ashton et al. and Worsley et al. teach the use of the ener client associated with isochronous channel and configured to receive isochronous data [see Figures 1, 2] ifidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by aminer in the final rejection.  In poses of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):  It is allowed: 22
□   <b>X</b>	The affor allowargum "a list" The aff the Ext For put Claims Claims Claims	ifidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition of wance because:  In the state of the consideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition of wance because:  In the state of the use of the considered with isochronous channel and configured to receive isochronous data [see Figures 1, 2]  If idavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by aminer in the final rejection.  In the state of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):  It is allowed: 22  It is objected to: 11 and 12
	The affor allowing the Extended Claims Claims The property of	ifidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition towance because:  In the state of the use of the
	The affor allowing the Extended Claims Claims The property of	ifidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition of the provided by the same possible of the consideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition of the provided by the same possible of the considered because the combination of the considered because it is not directed social social to issues which were newly raised by aminer in the final rejection.  The provided to the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):  The provided to:  The provided to:  The provided to:  The provided to the provided by the transfer of the considered by the transfer of the considered by the transfer of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):  The provided to:  The provided to:  The provided the transfer of the transfer of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):  The provided to:  The provided to:  The provided the transfer of the transfer of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):  The provided to:  The provided the provided the provided by the transfer of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):  The provided to:  The provided the provid